



ETHEREUM FOUNDATION

c-kzg & go-kzg
Security Assessment Report

Version: 1.0

May, 2023

Contents

Introduction	2
Disclaimer	2
Document Structure	2
Overview	2
Security Assessment Summary	3
Findings Summary	3
Detailed Findings	4
Summary of Findings	5
Incorrect Deserialisation of BLS12-381 Points	6
Panics in <code>from_hex()</code> for Rust Bindings	7
Panics in <code>UnmarshalText()</code> for Go Bindings	8
Potential Panics Loading Trusted Setup	9
Lack of Validation of Parameter Length	10
<code>NewDomain()</code> Will Panic for Certain Input	11
Random Oracle for Batch Proofs may be Zero	12
Miscellaneous General Comments	13
A Vulnerability Severity Classification	14

Introduction

Sigma Prime was commercially engaged to perform a time-boxed security review of the Ethereum Foundation KZG implementations. The review focused solely on the security aspects of the source code, though general recommendations and informational comments are also provided.

Disclaimer

Sigma Prime makes all effort but holds no responsibility for the findings of this security review. Sigma Prime does not provide any guarantees relating to the function of the KZG implementations. Sigma Prime makes no judgements on, or provides any security review, regarding the underlying business model or the individuals involved in the project.

Document Structure

The first section provides an overview of the functionality of the Ethereum Foundation KZG implementations contained within the scope of the security review. A summary followed by a detailed review of the discovered vulnerabilities is then given which assigns each vulnerability a severity rating (see [Vulnerability Severity Classification](#)), an *open/closed/resolved* status and a recommendation. Additionally, findings which do not have direct security implications (but are potentially of interest) are marked as *informational*.

The appendix provides additional documentation, including the severity matrix used to classify vulnerabilities within the Ethereum Foundation smart contracts.

Overview

An upcoming improvement to the Ethereum protocol is the introduction of [EIP-4844](#). EIP-4844 introduces the [KZG Polynomial Commitment Scheme](#).

The implementation in EIP-4844 is aimed at polynomials of degree 4096 where each coefficient or evaluation is in the finite field denoted by the prime r , where r is the size of the subgroup group use in BLS12-381 curves. The implementation uses BLS12-381 elliptic curve points for the elliptic curve discrete logarithm (ECDLP).

A trusted setup is required for the scheme. The trusted setup has not yet completed, contributions can be added [here](#).

Scope of the review includes the following repositories including the bindings of `c-kzg-4844` into the languages Rust, Golang, Nim, Java, Node JS, C Sharp and Python.

- [go-kzg-4844](#)
- [c-kzg-4844](#)

Security Assessment Summary

This review was conducted on the files hosted on the [crate-crypto/go-kzg-4844](#) and [ethereum/c-kzg-4844](#) repositories. They were assessed at commits [a201da1](#) and [fd24cf8](#) respectively.

The manual code review section of the report is focused on identifying any and all issues/vulnerabilities associated with the business logic implementation of the source code. This includes their internal interactions, intended functionality and correct implementation with respect to the [consensus specifications](#).

Additionally, differential fuzzing was performed between the two implementations. Differential fuzzing targets were designed to discover variances between the execution of clients given the same input.

Findings Summary

The testing team identified a total of 8 issues during this assessment. Categorised by their severity:

- Critical: 1 issue.
- High: 2 issues.
- Low: 1 issue.
- Informational: 4 issues.

Detailed Findings

This section provides a detailed description of the vulnerabilities identified within the Ethereum Foundation smart contracts. Each vulnerability has a severity classification which is determined from the likelihood and impact of each issue by the matrix given in the Appendix: [Vulnerability Severity Classification](#).

A number of additional properties of the source code are also described in this section and are labelled as “informational”.

Each vulnerability is also assigned a **status**:

- **Open**: the issue has not been addressed by the project team.
- **Resolved**: the issue was acknowledged by the project team and updates to the affected contract(s) have been made to mitigate the related risk.
- **Closed**: the issue was acknowledged by the project team but no further actions have been taken.

Summary of Findings

ID	Description	Severity	Status
EKZG-01	Incorrect Deserialisation of BLS12-381 Points	Critical	Resolved
EKZG-02	Panics in <code>from_hex()</code> for Rust Bindings	High	Open
EKZG-03	Panics in <code>UnmarshalText()</code> for Go Bindings	High	Open
EKZG-04	Potential Panics Loading Trusted Setup	Low	Open
EKZG-05	Lack of Validation of Parameter Length	Informational	Open
EKZG-06	<code>NewDomain()</code> Will Panic for Certain Input	Informational	Open
EKZG-07	Random Oracle for Batch Proofs may be Zero	Informational	Open
EKZG-08	Miscellaneous General Comments	Informational	Open

EKZG-01	Incorrect Deserialisation of BLS12-381 Points		
Asset	ConsensysSys/gnark-crypto/ecc/bls12-381/marshal.go		
Status	Resolved: See Resolution		
Rating	Severity: Critical	Impact: High	Likelihood: High

Description

The upstream library [ConsensysSys/gnark-crypto](#) contains a bug in the decoding of BLS12-381 points. The error allows invalid points to be successfully decoded.

The three leading bits of BLS12-381 encoded points are used to determine if the bytes are compressed, the point at infinity and the sign of the y-coordinate. There are three cases which are invalid and should return an error.

- 0b111
- 0b011
- 0b001

Points with these bit combinations are treated as valid compressed points and decoded successfully. Therefore, the `go-kzg` implementation will accept proofs which have an invalid bit combination. As a result, verification of the proofs may be successful for invalid encodings.

`go-kzg` and `c-kzg` will therefore have a consensus fault when verifying proofs which contain these bit combinations.

The issue occurs for points for each of the groups [G1](#) and [G2](#).

Recommendations

To resolve the issue update the library [ConsensysSys/gnark-crypto](#) such that it returns an error when decoding points with these bit combinations.

Resolution

A resolution can be seen in commit [da59459](#). The resolution is, to return an error for each of the invalid bit combinations decoding points in both [G1](#) and [G2](#).

EKZG-02	Panics in <code>from_hex()</code> for Rust Bindings		
Asset	c-kzg-4844/bindings/rust/src/bindings/mode.rs		
Status	Open		
Rating	Severity: High	Impact: High	Likelihood: Medium

Description

There are three `from_hex()` functions in the `c-kzg` rust bindings. Each of these functions contains an index out of bounds panic and a reachable `unwrap()`.

The functions decode hex strings for the following types:

- `Blob`
- `Bytes32`
- `Bytes48`

The following code snippet is taken from the decoding of `Blob`, however each of `Bytes32` and `Bytes48` contains the same issues.

```
pub fn from_hex(hex_str: &str) -> Result<Self, Error> {  
    Self::from_bytes(&hex::decode(hex_str[2..]).unwrap())  
}
```

An index out of bounds panic will occur if `hex_str` is less than two bytes due to `hex_str[2:]`. An `unwrap()` panic will occur if `hex_str` is not valid hex encoding. That is the length is not even length or consists of characters outside `0..f`.

Recommendations

To improve the robustness of these functions three actions should be implemented:

- ensure the length of `hex_str` is more than 2,
- ensure the first two bytes of `hex_str` are `0x` and
- remove the `unwrap()` and propagate an error if `hex::decode()` errors.

EKZG-03	Panics in UnmarshalText() for Go Bindings		
Asset	c-kzg-4844/bindings/go/main.go		
Status	Open		
Rating	Severity: High	Impact: High	Likelihood: Medium

Description

The functions `UnmarshalText()` for types `Bytes32`, `Bytes48` and `Blob` contain a potential index out of bounds panic.

The following is an excerpt from `Bytes32.UnmarshalText()`.

```
func (b *Bytes32) UnmarshalText(input []byte) error {
    if string(input[:2]) == "0x" { //@audit index array without validating length
        input = input[2:]
    }
    bytes, err := hex.DecodeString(string(input))
    if err != nil {
        return err
    }
    if len(bytes) != len(b) {
        return ErrBadArgs
    }
    copy(b[:], bytes)
    return nil
}
```

If the `input` length is less than 2 then `input[:2]` will cause an index out of bounds panic.

The issue can be seen in the following locations.

- `Bytes32.UnmarshalText()`
- `Bytes48.UnmarshalText()`
- `Blob.UnmarshalText()`

Recommendations

To improve the robustness of these functions validate the length of `input` is more than 2.

EKZG-04	Potential Panics Loading Trusted Setup		
Asset	c-kzg-4844/bindings/go/main.go		
Status	Open		
Rating	Severity: Low	Impact: Low	Likelihood: Low

Description

A range of reachable panics exist in the Golang bindings related to the trusted setup.

There are direct panics in the function `LoadTrustedSetup()` which occur on malformed input:

- [line \[131\]](#)
- [line \[134\]](#)
- [line \[137\]](#)

Similarly, for `LoadTrustedSetupFile()`:

- [line \[162\]](#)
- [line \[170\]](#)

Additionally, the remaining API functions will panic if the trusted setup is not loaded.

- [line \[188\]](#)
- [line \[204\]](#)
- [line \[226\]](#)
- [line \[274\]](#)
- [line \[299\]](#)
- [line \[323\]](#)

Finally, when an unknown error type is returned from `c-kzg` a panic occurs on [line \[61\]](#).

Recommendations

It is recommended to propagate errors as opposed to panicking. Errors may be easily handed by the calling function and prevent program failure by safely exiting.

EKZG-05	Lack of Validation of Parameter Length	
Asset	c-kzg-4844/bindings/node.js/src/kzg.cxx	
Status	Open	
Rating	Informational	

Description

There is insufficient validation on the number of input parameters before they are indexed in the node bindings.

The variable `info` is not validated before it is indexed, to fetch the input parameters. Each of the following lines contains unchecked indexing of `info`:

- [line \[176\]](#)
- [line \[213\]](#)
- [line \[249\]](#)
- [line \[298\]](#)
- [line \[343\]](#)
- [line \[393\]](#)
- [line \[448\]](#)

The impact is considered informational as the Typescript interface `kzg.d.ts` specifies the number of arguments that should be passed to each function.

Recommendations

To mitigate this issue add validation of `info.Length` for each of the binding functions to prevent an index out of bounds.

EKZG-06	NewDomain() Will Panic for Certain Input	
Asset	go-kzg-4844/internal/kzg/domain.go	
Status	Open	
Rating	Informational	

Description

There are multiple panic statements in the function `NewDomain()` which are triggered if invalid input is supplied.

Panics will occur if the input, x , is not a power of 2 i.e. $x \neq 2^a$ for some a . Additionally, a panic will occur if the input is a power of two greater than 32, which is the largest power of 2 that is a factor of $r - 1$.

The function is only called for constant input 4096 from `api.go` and `trusted_setup.go` and thus is raised as informational severity.

Recommendations

Consider propagating an error as opposed to panicking in invalid input is supplied.

EKZG-07	Random Oracle for Batch Proofs may be Zero	
Asset	go-kzg-4844/internal/kzg/kzg_verify.go & consensus-specs/specs/deneb/polynomial-commitments.md	
Status	Open	
Rating	Informational	

Description

The [consensus specs](#) generate a random oracle used to verify batch commitments. The random oracle is generated in the range $[0, q)$ as it uses the output of the SHA256 hash function and takes the modulus of q .

Batch verification is seen in the following equation where r is the random oracle.

$$e(\sum r^i proof_i, [s]) == e(\sum r^i (commitment_i - [y_i]) + \sum r^i z_i proof_i, [1])$$

It is unadvisable to allow $r = 0$ as the random oracle. That is because each individual pairing in the batch has components multiplied by a power of the random oracle. Hence, if the random oracle is zero each pairing will contain the point at infinity and therefore verify as true, irrelevant of the correctness of the proof.

The issue is raised as informational as the probability of this occurring is approximately $\frac{1}{q}$ or $\frac{3}{2^{256}}$.

Recommendations

Consider preventing the random oracle from being zero. Alternative solutions are to use rejection sampling or setting the value to a fixed non-zero value if it is zero e.g. 2.

EKZG-08 Miscellaneous General Comments	
Asset	*
Status	Open
Rating	Informational

Description

This section details miscellaneous findings discovered by the testing team that do not have direct security implications:

1. **BLST interface defines parameter as `bool` but takes `int` .**

The function `blst_p1_cneg(POINTonE1 *a, int cbit)` expects `cbit` to be of type `int` in . However, the interface in [blst.h](#) has definition `void blst_p1_cneg(blst_p1 *p, bool cbit)`, where `cbit` is of type `bool` .

Recommendations

Ensure that the comments are understood and acknowledged, and consider implementing the suggestions above.

Appendix A Vulnerability Severity Classification

This security review classifies vulnerabilities based on their potential impact and likelihood of occurrence. The total severity of a vulnerability is derived from these two metrics based on the following matrix.

Impact	High	Medium	High	Critical
	Medium	Low	Medium	High
	Low	Low	Low	Medium
		Low	Medium	High
		Likelihood		

Table 1: Severity Matrix - How the severity of a vulnerability is given based on the *impact* and the *likelihood* of a vulnerability.

References

σ'